

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,685	08/25/2003	Thomas J. Kelly	08350.3304-04	9970
7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			BROADHEAD, BRIAN J	
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		116			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Communication	10/646,685	KELLY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	? April 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ ob he drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) :)/Mail Date			
<ul> <li>3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-02-2004</u>.</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,685 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 through 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama, 6694235, in view of Dauner et al., 6526460.
- 3. Akiyama discloses detecting a first message sent by a source module on a first data link, wherein the first message is directed to a destination module and includes an address identifier corresponding to the destination module, retrieving the first message and extracting the destination address identifier from the message on lines 7-39, on column 3; detecting a first message sent by a source module on a proprietary data link on lines 43-49, on column 7; receiving a second message responsive to the first message from the second message via the second data link on lines 16-22, on column 10; and routing the second message to the first module over the first data link via second module the address map on lines 16-22, on column 10 and lines 55-67, on column 5; the nodes include at least one of either an on-board module and an off-board system (3); means for monitoring a first data link connected to a plurality of modules, each module configured to direct messages to destination modules by adding to the messages an address identifier corresponding to the destination modules, and means for intercepting at least one of the messages based on a determination that the at least

į

Application/Control Number: 10/646,685

Art Unit: 3661

one message is intended for a destination module for which the gateway serves as a proxy on lines 55-67, on column 8; a master controller remotely located with respect to the work machine and coupled to the work machine via a wireless data link, wherein the master controller is configured to control the modules on lines 67-67, on column 7.

- 4. Akiyama does not disclose routing the first message to a proxy logic element that performs functions associated with the destination module; a second data link that interfaces the proxy logic element; the second data link is a non-proprietary standard data link including one of 11939, CAN, MODBUS, serial standard data link, and the Ethernet.
- 5. Dauner et al. teach routing to a proxy logic element that performs functions associated with the destination module on lines 1-12, on column 2; a second data link that interfaces the proxy logic element on lines 60-63, on column 4; the second data link is a non-proprietary standard data link including one of 11939, CAN, MODBUS, serial standard data link, and the Ethernet on lines 61, on column 4. Dauner et al. teaches that the modules can be part of any of the equipment units(1-11) and that the equipment units can support any number of modules. This would include the equipment module 3, or the gateway, being able to have several modules. These several modules are viewed as proxy logic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Dauner et al. in the invention of Akiyama because such modification would make the relay system of Akiyama readable extended and adapted to new tasks and application with comparatively little outlay as stated on lines 65-67, on column 1, of Dauner et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,685 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

6. The limitations "the gateway is further configured to transmit information responsive to the first message from the proxy logic element over the second data link; the gateway is further configured to receive a second message from the second data link and route, using the address map, the second message over the first data link to the source module; providing the first message from the proxy logic element to a second module over a second data link interfaced by the proxy logic element; and generating, by the proxy logic element, a second message that is responsive to the first message and routing the second message to the source module via the first data link" are all functional language that one of ordinary skill in the art would find the invention of Akiyama and Dauner et al. capable of performing.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 703-308-9033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,685

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJB

THOM: AS G. BLACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
GROUP 35 0 0

Page 5